REPORTABLE

 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

 CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

 WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.309 OF 2003

Laxmi Narain Modi .... Petitioner

 Versus

Union of India and others .... Respondents

 WITH

 WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.330 OF 2001,

 WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.44 OF 2004,

 WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.688 OF 2007,

 AND

 SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.14121 OF 2009

 O R D E R

K.S. Radhakrishnan, J.

1. We, in our order dated 23.8.2012, had highlighted the extreme

necessity of constituting State Committees for the purpose of supervising

and monitoring the implementation of the provisions of the Prevention of

Cruelty to Animals (Establishment and Registration of Societies for

Prevention of Cruelty to Animals) Rules, 2000, the Environment Protection

Act, 1986, the Solid Waste (Management and Handling) Rules, 2000, the

Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (Slaughter House) Rules, 2000 etc.

2. We passed another order on 10.10.2012 and, following that order,

almost all the States and Union Territories have constituted the State

Committees. On 27.8.2013, we passed a detailed order directing those

Committees to implement the broad framework prepared by the MoEF, which we

have incorporated in the said order. We also directed the various State

Committees to file an Action Taken Report. Few Committees have filed their

Action Taken Reports.

3. We notice that there is no periodical supervision or inspection of

the various slaughter houses functioning in various parts of the country.

Action Taken Reports would indicate that, in many States, slaughter houses

are functioning without any licence and even the licenced slaughter houses

are also not following the various provisions as well as the guidelines

issued by the MoEF, which we have already referred to in our earlier

orders. We feel that the presence of an experienced Judicial Officer in

the State Committees would give more life and light to the Committees, who

can function as its Convener. The Convener, so appointed, would see that

the Committees meet quite often and follow and implement the provisions of

the Act as well as the guidelines issued by the MoEF, which has been made a

part of our order dated 27.8.2013.

4. In such circumstances, we are inclined to request the Chief Justices

of the various High Courts in the country to nominate the name of a retired

District Judge for a period of two years as a Convener of the Committee so

as to enable him to send the quarterly reports to this Court. First report

be sent within two months. Communicate this order to the Chief Justices

of the various High Courts in the country, along with a copy of this

Court's orders dated 23.8.2012, 10.10.2012 and 27.8.2013. We fix a

consolidated remuneration of Rs.20,000/- per month as honorarium to be paid

to the District Judge (Retd.), which will be borne by the respective State

Governments/Union Territories, as the case may be. Union of India and

various State Governments have raised no objection in adopting such course,

so that the Committees could function efficiently and the provisions of the

Act and the framework prepared by the MoEF could be given effect to in its

letter and spirit.

 ...............................J.

 (K.S. Radhakrishnan)

 ...............................J.

 (Pinaki Chandra Ghose)

New Delhi,

January 30, 2014.
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